Comparative studies on the gill rakers of some marine fishes with different feeding habits
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ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to compare between gill rakers of some marine fishes with different feeding habits. A total of 78 specimens in 13 species belonged to 6 fish families: Sparidae (Sparus aurata, Diplodus noct, Rhapdosargus haffara and Boops boops); Family: Mugilidae (Mugil cephalus, Mugil capito and Liza aurata); Family: Siganidae (Siganus rivulatus and Siganus luridus); Family: Synodontidae (Saurida undosquamis and Synodus saurus); Family: Clupeidae (Herklotsichthys quadriramaculatus) and Family: Carangidae (Caranx sexfasciatus) were collected by irregular visits from land fish market in different localities of Egyptian Mediterranean Sea and Suez Gulf; during the period from March, 2014 to November, 2014.

Results showed that, the first gill arch formed of one piece, consisting of two limbs (upper and lower limbs). The gill arch carried two rows of gill rakers on its concave border and two rows of gill filaments on its convex one. The gill rakers in the anterior row (oral row) are longer, more in number and more developed than that of the posterior row (aboral row).

The gill arch in family Sparidae is bow-like shape. The anterior gill rakers on the first gill arch of most sparid species are short, conical in shape adapted to carnivorous feeding. The gill arch of fish species in Mugilidae is mostly crescent-shaped and rarely bow-shaped. The anterior gill rakers on the first gill arch are long and great in number adapted to detritus feeding. The gill arch in family Synodontidae is V shaped. The anterior gill rakers on the first gill arch appeared as clusters of small tooth patches adapted to piscivores feeding. The gill arch in family Siganidae is hook-like shape. The anterior gill rakers on the first gill arch were needle spine in shape with secondary projections adapted to herbivorous feeding. The gill arch in fish species of Clupeidae is V like shape. Gill rakers are well developed and arranged in only one row. They are numerous and elongated adapted to seizing food items in the plankton feeding. The gill arch in fish species of Carangidae is bow-like shape. Gill rakers are moderate in length and number adapted to carnivorous feeding.

According to the different feeding habits, the results showed that, the highest average length of the first gill arch (mm) is recorded in carnivore and piscivore fish. The maximum averages number and the length of the anterior gill rakers are recorded in detritivore fish and planktivore fish. The highest percentages of the anterior gill raker length /gill arch length ratio (%) are recorded in planktivore fish and detritivore fish.

Results showed that, the maximum inter raker space (µm) and the inter raker space/gill arch length (%) is recorded in carnivore fish. The maximum breadth at the raker base (µm) in the anterior row of rakers is recorded in piscivore fish. The highest breadth at the raker base/gill arch length (%) in the anterior row of rakers is recorded in carnivore, piscivore and planktivore fish. The highest posterior gill raker length (µm) and the posterior gill raker length /gill arch length ratio (%) are recorded in detritivore fish.

In conclusion: The morphological characters of the gill rakers in the first gill arch were differ in species studied according to different feeding habits. So that, can be used in determine the fish family and feeding habits.
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INTRODUCTION

Among fish, diversity of the food resources leads to the evolution of various adaptive characters in the pharynx, which plays an indispensable role in the retention, maneuvering and transport of food for swallowing. The pharynx, in teleost, was characterized by the presence of gill arches. These gill arches were located at the boundary between the pharyngeal cavity and the opercular chamber on either side of the head. The gill arches in general were equipped with gill rakers toward their pharyngeal side and were considered to play an important role in feeding\(^1\) - \(^3\).

The gill arches may be equipped with projections called gill rakers, which aid in food gathering. In the same manner, the gill-rakers are also specialized in relation to the food and feeding habits. They may be small and few in number in fish that consume large prey. While, the plankton feeders usually have elongated, numerous and variously lamellated or ornamented gill rakers, forming an extensive straining sieve\(^4\). The gill rakers allow the solid food to go to gullet and only water is allowed to pass through gills to outside\(^5\).

Little studies were available on the analyzed gill rakers and their adaptations related to feeding in species with the same feeding habit\(^6\) or related gill rakers to species identification\(^7\).

Therefore, the present study aimed to describe the differences between some families of marine fish species in the morphological features of gill rakers; in addition to the correlation with food and feeding habits.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1. Specimens collection:

A total of 78 specimens belongs to six families: Sparidae (7 of Sparus aurata, 5 of Diplodus noct, 5 of Rhapdosargus haffara and 12 of Boops boops); Family: Mugilidae (4 of Mugil cephalus, 7 of Mugil capito and 9 of Liza aurata); Family: Siganidae (5 of Siganus rivulatus and 7 of Siganus luridus); Family: Synodontidae (4 of Saurida undosquamis and 4 of Synodus saurus); Family: Clupeidae (4 of Herklotsichthys quadrimaculatus) and Family: Carangidae (5 of Caranx sexfasciatus) were collected by irregular visitors from land fish market in different localities of Egyptian Mediterranean Sea and Suez Gulf; during the period from March, 2014 to November, 2014 (Table, 1). Fishes were freshly examined and preserved in 10% formalin solution and transported to laboratory of Marine Biology, Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt for latter examinations. In the laboratory, fishes were identified\(^8\) - \(^10\). Standard and total lengths were measured to the nearest millimetres and recorded.

2. Staining of gill arch:

In the laboratory, after carefully dissection, operculum was removed, the first gill arch in the left side of the fish was cut off from the rest of the gill; and immersed in 70% ethyl alcohol + 3% Alizarin red for 24 hours, then it was washed in 1% KOH for 2 hours.

3. Examination and measurements:

The gill arches were microscopically examined and the number of gill rakers was counted under a dissecting microscope. The digital photographic images were taken using a digital camera mounted on a dissecting microscope. From the digitalised images, the numbers of gill rakers on the anterior row of the first gill arch were recorded and the following measurements were made using the Image Pro Plus Program:

1. The length of anterior gill rakers (L\(_R\)) from the tip to base of the longest and the 4 neighboring rakers (\(\mu m\)).
2. The breadth (L\(_B\)) at the base of the longest and the 4 neighboring rakers (\(\mu m\)).
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3. The inter-raker space (I_R) between examined gill rakers, as the distance (μm) between the edge of each gill raker and the edge of the next gill raker.

4. The length of posterior gill rakers (LP) at the first gill arch the longest and the 4 neighboring rakers (if possible) were measured in μm.

4- Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis (ANOVA test) and graphics of data was conducted by using Microsoft Excel under windows programs.

Table (1): List of examined fish species and notes on their specimen numbers, feeding habits and sampling sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species (Scientific name)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Sampling site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Feeding habits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sparus aurata</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sparidae</strong></td>
<td><strong>Diplodus noct</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Rhapdosargus haffara</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Boops boops</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Plankton feeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sparidae</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mugil cephalus</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Detritus feeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mugilidae</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mugil capito</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Detritus feeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Liza aurata</strong></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Detritus feeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Siganidae</strong></td>
<td><strong>Siganus rivulatus</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Herbivore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Siganus luridus</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Herbivore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Carangidae</strong></td>
<td><strong>Caranx sexfasciatus</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Aulopiformes</strong></td>
<td><strong>Saurida undosquamis</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Piscivoure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Synodontidae</strong></td>
<td><strong>Synodus saurus</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Piscivoure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Clupeiformes</strong></td>
<td><strong>Herklotsichthys</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Plankton feeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>quadrimaculatus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

1. Morphology of gill arch:

Family Sparidae is represented in the present study by four species (Sparus aurata, Diplodus noct, Rhapdosargus haffara and Boops boops). The gill arch is bow-like shape; formed of one piece. It is displayed semilunar in shape, consisting of two limbs (upper and lower limbs). The gill arch carried two rows of gill rakers on its concave border and two rows of gill filaments on its convex one. The anterior row (oral row) and posterior (aboral row) of gill rakers varied in length and shape in the first gill arch; having long and more developed rakers in the first row and short with less developed in the second one. The gill rakers in the anterior row of first gill arch in most species of family Sparidae (sparus aurata, Diplodus noct, Rhapdosargus haffara are short, conical in shape and elongated thick slightly pointed end strips with triangular base in Boops boops (Fig. 1A).

Family Mugilidae is represented in the present study by three species comprise (Mugil cephalus, Mugil capito and Liza aurata). The gill arches of Mugilidae have crescent-shaped (in Mugil cephalus and Mugil capito) or bow-shaped (in Liza aurata). Each gill arch is formed of one piece, consisting of two limbs (upper and lower limbs). The gill arch carried gill rakers on its concave border and gill filaments on its convex one. Gill rakers are arranged in two rows, the anterior row of the first gill arch is characterized by having long and great numbers of rakers, which are short and less in numbers on the posterior one (Fig. 1B).

Family Synodontidae is represented in this study by two species (Saurida undosquamis and Synodus saurus). All species in this family are piscivores. Gill arches have V shaped and carried two rows of gill rakers on its concave border and gill filaments on its convex one. Gill rakers in synodontid fish are actually appeared as clusters of small tooth patches on the epi-, cerrato-, and basi-branchials (Fig. 1C).

Family Siganidae is represented by two species (Siganus rivulats and Siganus luridus). These species of family siganidae are herbivores; they have a hook-like shape gill arch supported by two rows of gill rakers which extended antero-medially from the arch. The arch is slightly convex laterally and slightly concave medially. Rakers of the anterior row on first gill arch are more numerous, needle spine in shape with secondary projections and more developed than the posterior row of rakers (Figure, 2A).

Clupeid fishes are plankton-feeder fish, generally characterized with numerous and elongated gill rakers. This family is represented in this study by only Herklotsichthys quadriramiculatus. The gill arch has the V like shape. Each gill arch consists of one piece contains 2 limbs (upper and lower limbs). Each gill arch carried well developed gill rakers arranged in one row (anterior row of gill rakers); where the posterior one is absent. Rakers serve in straining water current entering pharyngeal cavity for seizing food items (Fig. 2B).

Carangid fish species are pelagic carnivores, feeding mainly on crustaceans and fishes. This family is represented in this study by Caranx sexfasciatus. The gill arch is formed of one piece and has the bow-like shape. Gill rakers are moderate in length and number to long and numerous, their number decreasing with growth (Fig. 2C).
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Fig. (1): A photomicrograph of the first gill arch, showing the general morphology and structure of the first gill in fish representing species of family. A- Sparidae, B-Mugilidae and C- Synodontidae.
Fig. (2): A photomicrograph of the first gill arch, showing the general morphology and structure of the first gill in fish representing species of family. A- Siganidae, B-Clupeidae and C-Carangidae.
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2. Gill rakers - feeding habits relationship:

Results showed that, according to the feeding habits, fish species can be classified into: carnivore fish (*Sparus aurata*, *Diplodus nocturnus*, *Rhapdosargus haffara* and *Caranx sexfasciatus*); piscivore fish (*Saurida undosquamis* and *Synodus saurus*); detritivore fish (*Mugil cephalus*, *Mugil capito* and *Liza aurata*); herbivore fish (*Siganus rivulatus* and *Siganus luridus*) and planktivore fish (*Boops boops* and *Herklotsichthys quadrimaculatus*).

According to the different feeding habits, the results showed that, the highest average length of the first gill arch (mm) is recorded in carnivore and piscivore fish. It gradually decreased in planktivore and detritivore fish and reached to its lowest average in herbivore fish (Table 2 and Fig. 3). The differences in gill arch length are statistically significant (P < 0.05) except between the detritivore fish species which is non-significant (Table 3).

The results showed that the maximum average number of the anterior gill rakers, in relation to the different feeding habits, is recorded in detritivore fish and planktivore fish. It clearly decreased in carnivore, piscivore and herbivore fish (Table 2 and Fig. 4). The differences in the anterior gill rakers number are statistically highly significant (P < 0.01) between the different feeding habits and between the different species of each feeding habit, except between the detritivore fish species which is non-significant (Table 3).

The highest length of anterior gill raker (µm) in fish species with different feeding habits is recorded in detritivore fish followed by planktivore fish and some carnivore fish. While, the lowest anterior gill raker length is occurred in herbivore fish, piscivore fish and some carnivore fish (Table 2 and Fig. 5). The differences in the anterior gill rakers length are statistically significant (P < 0.05) between the different feeding habits and between the different species of each feeding habit (Table 3).

The anterior gill raker length /gill arch length ratio (%) in fish species with different feeding habits showed that, the highest percentages are recorded in planktivore fish and detritivore fish. It gradually decreased in carnivore fish and herbivore fish; reaching to its lowest percentages in piscivore fish (Table 2 and Fig. 6).

The maximum space between the anterior gill rakers (µm) in fish species with different feeding habits is recorded in detritivore fish followed by planktivore fish and some carnivore fish. The differences in the inter rakers space are statistically highly significant (P < 0.01) between the different feeding habits and between the different species of each feeding habit (Table 3).

The inter raker space/gill arch length (%) in the anterior row of rakers in fish species with different feeding habits showed that, the highest percentages are recorded in carnivore fish and detritivore fish. It gradually decreased in piscivore fish and herbivore fish; reaching to its lowest percentages in piscivore and detritivore fish (Table 2 and Fig. 7).

The maximum breadth at the raker base (µm) in the anterior row of rakers in fish species, according to the different feeding habits, is recorded in piscivore fish. It gradually decreased in carnivore, planktivore, detritivore fish and reaching to its lowest values in herbivore fish (Table 2 and Fig. 8). The differences in the breadth at the raker base in the anterior row of rakers are statistically highly significant (P < 0.01) between the different feeding habits and between the different species of each feeding habit (Table 3).

The highest breadth at the raker base/gill arch length (%) in the anterior row of rakers in fish species with different feeding habits is recorded in carnivore fish, piscivore fish and planktivore fish. The lowest values are recorded in detritivore fish and herbivore fish (Table 2 and Fig. 9).

The maximum length of posterior gill raker (µm) in fish species with different feeding habits is recorded in detritivore fish followed by some carnivore fish. While, the lowest posterior gill raker length is recorded in piscivore fish, herbivore fish and some carnivore fish.
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(Table 2 and Fig. 11). The differences in the posterior gill rakers length are statistically non-significant except between piscivore and herbivore fish species which are highly significant (P< 0.01) (Table 3).

The posterior gill raker length /gill arch length ratio (%) in fish species with different feeding habits showed that, the relatively highest percentages are recorded in detritivore fish, herbivore fish and carnivore fish. It gradually decreased in planktivore fish and reached to its lowest percentage in piscivore fish (Table 2 and Fig. 12).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the gill rakers in most species of family Sparidae such as sparus aurata, Diplodus noct, Rhapdosargus haffara are short, conical in shape and pointed to binding the preys to the oesophagus. These findings are in conformity with those of the carnivorous fish described[11 - 14]. But, the gill rakers in Boops boops are elongated thick slightly pointed end strips with triangular base modified to sorting of plankton. Similar observations are detected[4]. He mentioned that, the gill arches may be equipped with projections called gill rakers, which aid in food gathering. In the same manner, the gill-rakers are also specialized in relation to the food and feeding habits. They may be small and few in number in fish that consume large prey. While, the plankton feeders usually have elongated, numerous and variously lamellated or ornamented gill rakers, forming an extensive straining sieve.

Carangids are pelagic carnivores, feeding mainly on crustaceans and fishes. The gill arches are formed of one piece and have bow-shape. In the present work, gill rakers on the anterior row of Caranx sexfasciatus exist as elongated thick strips rakers with triangular base, which bent inward and their length increases in the middle portion of the gill arch. This result is in agreement with Fischer and Whitehead[15]. They mentioned that, gill rakers are mostly moderate-sized, occasionally either stumps or very long.

In the present study, the gill arches of Mugilidae are crescent-shaped (e.g. Mugil cephalus and Mugil capito) or bow-shaped (e.g. Liza aurata). The gill arches lack of angle of curvature or display an acute angle of curvature in the middle of the gill arches. These observations may be attributed to the degree to the pharynx expansion in filter-feeding mullets, Mugil cephalus[16]. The gill rakers of the anterior row on the first gill arch are long and numerous. This structure is adapted to the feeding habits in different species of mullets (muddy skeeper). Long and numerous of gill rakers, may be related to mechanical sieving of mud. Similar observations at the same family are recorded[17 - 18].

In the present study, the gill arches of family: Synodontidae are V shaped. It may be attributed to help the fish in swallowing the large food by backward direction in the pharyngeal cavity. Gill rakers in synodontids are actually clusters of small tooth patches adapted to piscivores feeder. This result is coinciding with Carpenter[19]. He mentioned that, gill rakers in synodontid fish are rudimentary or minute and spine-like.

In the present study, the top surface of the gill rakers in rabbit fish consists of a relatively smooth, thin ridge at its distal end. Regular arrays of secondary projections, either spiny or smooth extended from the underside of each raker. They look like a spine with broad bases and more or less tapering ends. This structure in rakers is adapted to vegetarians feeder. The siganid fish is herbivorous; progress from feeding on zoo- and phytoplankton as larvae to finer algae as small juveniles and to coarser seaweeds and encrusting algae, and occasionally sea grasses, as adults[20].
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Table (2): Averages of some measurements and ratios of gill rakers on the first gill arch of studied fish species of different feeding habits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fish species</th>
<th>Carnivorous</th>
<th>Herbivorous</th>
<th>Detritivorous</th>
<th>Insectivorous</th>
<th>Planktonivorous</th>
<th>Total feeding habit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( L_A )</td>
<td>length of gill arch</td>
<td>( RC ): Gill rakers counts</td>
<td>( L_R ): length of gill rakers in anterior row</td>
<td>( I_S ): Inter raker space of anterior row</td>
<td>( L_B ): Length of breadth at the base of anterior rakers</td>
<td>( L_P ): Length of gill rakers in posterior row</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish species</strong></td>
<td>Carnivorous</td>
<td>Herbivorous</td>
<td>Detritivorous</td>
<td>Insectivorous</td>
<td>Planktonivorous</td>
<td>Total feeding habit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_A )</td>
<td>281.14</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>34.09</td>
<td>134.73</td>
<td>127.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>1.63E-16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>3.99E-07</td>
<td>3.15E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( RC )</td>
<td>441.71</td>
<td>80.81</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>27.76</td>
<td>1449.77</td>
<td>430.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>1.94E-18</td>
<td>4.18E-06</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>3.72E-12</td>
<td>1.19E-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_R )</td>
<td>247.28</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>16.49</td>
<td>12.99</td>
<td>145.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>3.85E-36</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.85E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_S )</td>
<td>35.33</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>241.90</td>
<td>270.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>5.98E-13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.13E-05</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2.63E-15</td>
<td>9.45E-42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_B )</td>
<td>157.83</td>
<td>335.67</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>153.34</td>
<td>195.80</td>
<td>348.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>2.75E-27</td>
<td>3.96E-17</td>
<td>3.90E-05</td>
<td>7.07E-13</td>
<td>3.66E-14</td>
<td>2.12E-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_P )</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2587.45</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>25189.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.34E-29</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>6.47E-43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**L_A**: length of gill arch; **RC**: Gill rakers counts; **L_R**: length of gill rakers in anterior row; **I_S**: Inter raker space of anterior row; **L_B**: length of breadth at the base of anterior rakers and **L_P**: Length of gill rakers in posterior row.

Table (3): Statistical analysis of variance results of some measurements of gill rakers on the first gill arch, between fish species of each feeding habit and between the different feeding habits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Fish species</th>
<th>Carnivorous</th>
<th>Herbivorous</th>
<th>Detritivorous</th>
<th>Insectivorous</th>
<th>Planktonivorous</th>
<th>Total feeding habit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish species</strong></td>
<td>Carnivorous</td>
<td>Herbivorous</td>
<td>Detritivorous</td>
<td>Insectivorous</td>
<td>Planktonivorous</td>
<td>Total feeding habit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_A )</td>
<td>281.14</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>34.09</td>
<td>134.73</td>
<td>127.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>1.63E-16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>3.99E-07</td>
<td>3.15E-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( RC )</td>
<td>441.71</td>
<td>80.81</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>27.76</td>
<td>1449.77</td>
<td>430.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>1.94E-18</td>
<td>4.18E-06</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>3.72E-12</td>
<td>1.19E-22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_R )</td>
<td>247.28</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>16.49</td>
<td>12.99</td>
<td>145.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>3.85E-36</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.85E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_S )</td>
<td>35.33</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>241.90</td>
<td>270.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>5.98E-13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.13E-05</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2.63E-15</td>
<td>9.45E-42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_B )</td>
<td>157.83</td>
<td>335.67</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>153.34</td>
<td>195.80</td>
<td>348.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>2.75E-27</td>
<td>3.96E-17</td>
<td>3.90E-05</td>
<td>7.07E-13</td>
<td>3.66E-14</td>
<td>2.12E-45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_P )</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2587.45</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>25189.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.34E-29</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>6.47E-43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**L_A**: length of gill arch; **RC**: Gill rakers counts; **L_R**: length of gill rakers in anterior row; **I_S**: Inter raker space of anterior row; **L_B**: length of breadth at the base of anterior rakers; **L_P**: Length of gill rakers in posterior row; **F-** and **P-** value: the result data of statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA); **NS**: non-significant; ***: significant and ****: highly significant.
Figure (3): A histogram of the first gill arch length (average, mm) in fish species with different feeding habits.

Figure (4): A histogram of the anterior gill raker number in fish species with different feeding habits.
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Figure (5): A histogram of the anterior gill raker length (µm) in fish species with different feeding habits.

Figure (6): A histogram of the anterior gill raker length / gill arch length (%) in fish species with different feeding habits.
Figure (7): A histogram of the inter raker space (µm) in the anterior row of rakers in fish species with different feeding habits.

Figure (8): A histogram of the inter raker space /gill arch length (%) in the anterior row of rakers in fish species with different feeding habits.
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Figure (9): A histogram of breadth at the raker base (µm) in the anterior row of rakers in fish species with different feeding habits.

Figure (10): A histogram of breadth at the raker base /gill arch length (%) in the anterior row of rakers in fish species with different feeding habits.
DISCUSSION

In the present study, the gill arches of family: Synodontidae are V shaped. It may be attributed to help the fish in swallowing the large food by backward direction in the pharyngeal cavity. Gill rakers in synodontids are actually clusters of small tooth patches.
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adapted to piscivores feeder. This result is coinciding with Carpenter [19]. He mentioned that, gill rakers in synodontid fish are rudimentary or minute and spine-like.

In the present study, the top surface of the gill rakers in rabbit fish consists of a relatively smooth, thin ridge at its distal end. Regular arrays of secondary projections, either spiny or smooth extended from the underside of each raker. They look like a spine with broad bases and more or less tapering ends. This structure in rakers is adapted to vegetarians feeder. The siganid fish is herbivorous; progress from feeding on zoo- and phytoplankton as larvae to finer algae as small juveniles and to coarser seaweeds and encrusting algae, and occasionally sea grasses, as adults [20].

In the present study, gill arches in family Clupeidae have the V shape appearance. Each arch carries well developed rakers arranged in one row. Clupeid fish are generally characterized with numerous and elongated rakers. These rakers carries numerous, fine spinules adapted to filtering of plankton. Rakers serve in straining water current entering pharyngeal cavity for seizing food items. The rakers on the first gill arch account for almost 60% of the whole filtering area. The observed particle retention capabilities of the fish when filter-feeding are lower than those expected on the basis of the estimated spaces between the rakers [21].

In the present study, the highest length of anterior gill raker is recorded in detritivore fish followed by planktivore fish and the lowest occurred in piscivore and carnivore fish. The role of the gill raker apparatus is related to prey retention efficiency, where the gill rakers function as a cross-flow filter [22 - 23]. An increasing number of gill rakers enhance crossflow filtering and the closely spaced gill rakers also limit the escape possibilities of small prey. Accordingly, a high number of long gill rakers are common in planktivorous fish species and morphs, whereas benthic species and morphs usually display a lower number of short gill rakers [24 - 25].

In the present study, the detritivore and plankitivore fish recorded the maximum average number and the minimum space between the anterior gill rakers. There may be a trend for species with increase in number and smaller distances between the gill rakers and denticles of pharyngeal pads to have a preference for, or to be able to ingest smaller particles [26].

On the other hand, in all studied species, the posterior rakers of the first gill arch are shorter and less in numbers compared to those on the anterior row. Similar observations are recorded [27 - 28] in other species, and are related to respiratory (gaseous exchange) and osmoregulatory (ion exchange) functions [28], as well as filter feeding mechanism [21].
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أوضح النتائج أن القوس الخشومي في أنواع عائلة الصابوعات (أسماك السدرين) يكون على شكل حرف V وتحمل أنسان خشومة متطورة ترتيب في صف واحد فقط. الأسنان الخشومية في هذا الصف طويلة مخروطية ذو حافة مستدقة. تعمل هذه الأسنان على تصنيف الماء لتقليل الغذاء. وهذا التركيب يومن مع الأسماك التي تتغذى على الطحالب والحيوانات.

أوضح النتائج أن القوس الخشومي في أنواع عائلة البياض يكون على شكل قوس. الأسنان الخشومية في الصف الأمامي من القوس الخشومي الأول عبارة عن أشرطة طويلة وتمتد ذو قاعدة مثيلة وتحمل العديد من الشوكة المتتالية بشكل ذو حافة مستدقة. وهذا التركيب يومن مع الأسماك التي تتغذى على اللاحمات.

ما يمكن توضح إن الاختلاف في الأسنان الخشومية يرتبط بالعادات الغذائية حيث وجد أن أعلى أطول للقوس الخشومي سجلت في الأسماك، أكلة الدبال وأكلات الأسماك. بينما سجلت النتائج أكثر أعداد للأسنان الخشومية الأمامية وأطول الأسنان الخشومية الأمامية والخلفية في الأسماك أكلة الدبال. كما سجلت أعلى نسبة في طول الصف الأمامي لأسنان الخشومية / طول القوس الخشومي في الأسماك التي تتغذى على الهائمات، وأكلات الأسماك أكلة الدبال. أيضاً سجلت أعلى قيمة في المسافات البينية في الصف الأمامي لأسنان الخشومية ونسبةها على طول القوس الخشومي في الأسماك التي تتغذى على اللاحمات. بينما سجلت أعلى قيمة في عرض قاعدة الصف الأمامي لأسنان الخشومية ونسبةها على طول القوس الخشومي في الأسماك، كذلك الأسماك اللامحة.

وتعرض هذه الدراسة إلى أن التركيب المورفولوجي للأسنان الخشومية في الصف الأمامي للقوس الخشومي الأول اختلاف في الأسماك محل الدراسة باختلاف الغذاء والعادات الغذائية.